

Da 70 **29.05.2026**
/Srimanta

WPA/12152/2026
PAPIYA SARKAR
VS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.

Mr. Jay Laha, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioner

1. Report filed by the State is taken on record.
2. On hearing the parties, it appears that the petitioner has challenged a notice dated 19th May, 2026 under sub-rule 2 of Rule 5B of West Bengal Panchayat (Constitution) Rules, 1975.
3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the mandatory provision of law has not been complied with.
4. On the other hand, learned Counsel for the State places documents in support of his submission that the same is in accordance with law.
5. In view of the above, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the proceeding undertaken by the respondents, at this stage.
6. The matter be placed before the regular Bench.
7. Any steps taken in the meeting shall abide by the final result of the writ petition.
8. It is further directed that the resolution arrived at by virtue of the said meeting shall not be given effect to without the leave of the Court.

(SHAMPA DUTT (PAUL), J.)