

22.05.2026
Sl. No.1(ADSL)
Ct. No.14
Sourav Murmu

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

W.P.A. No. 11953 of 2026

**Deben Mahato Teachers' Training Institute (B.Ed)
College & Ors.**

Versus

Baba Saheb Ambedkar Education University & Ors.

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Mr. Aditya Mondal
...for the petitioner

Mr. Parashar Baidya
...for the respondent no. 1 to 3.

Mr. Sarosij Dasgupta
Mr. Lutful Haque
Ms. Taharima Khatun
Mr. Mahewash Rasool
...for the respondent no. 5

Ms. Asha Gutgutia
.....for the respondent no.4-NCTE

1. This matter is listed in the supplementary list upon urgent mentioning by the learned advocate for the petitioner.
2. Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.
3. By the present writ petition the petitioner seeks direction upon the respondent authorities to allow admission of 36 students in B.Ed programme for session 2025-27 whose names have been listed in the merit list published by Baba Saheb Ambedkar University.

4. The petitioners contend that following a university notification dated 13th May 2026, which open a fourth phase of centralized online admissions, scores of eligible students selected the petitioner college via State portal, culminating in a formal merit list issued on 21st May, 2026. Pursuant to this list 36 students duly secure admission and completed their documentation with the college. As because the University persisted to use corrupt email Id and portal, the petitioners were unable to upload the documents pertaining to the student data in fourth phase on 21st May, 2026. Formal letter was sent by the college management highlighting the critical issue however, the same has not been resolved by the university administration, jeopardising the academic future and registration status of 36 innocent students whose admission window closes on 22nd May, 2026. Being aggrieved by such action of the university authorities the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.

5. Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the students who approached respondent no.5 have been allowed to complete their documentation online through the ID and password held by respondent no.5. The petitioner no.2 the President of the training instituted namely the Deben Mahato Teachers' Training Institute (B.Ed) since, is presently not having the ID and password could not complete the documentation through online. 36 numbers of students who have approached the

college and whose names appear in the merit list could not complete documentation due to arbitrary action of respondent no.5 in connivance with the university administration. In an earlier round of litigation in WPA 24528 of 2025 where similar dispute cropped up in respect of first, second and third phase of admission, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 4th November, 2025 passed *interim* order with certain modalities, which has not been followed by the respondents in the fourth phase of admission. On 21st May, 2026 respondent no.2 made a request before the Registrar of the university concerned seeking for physical verification of documents of the 36 students in admission for fourth phase B.Ed course session 2025-27 who have been admitted by the college. Such request was made since the admission login deactivated due to some technical problem. However, no response was received from the university administration. The innocent students whose names appear in the merit list should not suffer due to the dispute and mismanagement in the affairs of the college. He seeks for appropriate orders.

6. Mr. Parashar Baidya, learned advocate for respondent no.1 to 3, University, on the contrary, submits that the documentation of the students uploaded through online by the college has been accepted by the university and the admission have been accordingly completed. The 36 numbers of students who have approached the petitioners, their details have not been uploaded in the university portal for which reason the

admission could not be completed. The university administration is under obligation to follow its own notification and act accordingly.

7. Mr. Sarosij Dasgupta, learned advocate appearing for respondent no.5 submits that the students who have approached respondent no.5 their data have been uploaded and the admission has been completed. None of such students have been impleaded in the present proceedings. Further, the petitioners have accepted money from the students who have approached them but have not handed over the same to the college authority. He seeks to file affidavit-in-opposition to the writ petition and prays to keep the point of maintainability of the writ petition open.
8. Mr. Bhattacharya learned advocate for the petitioner submits that his client is willing to deposit the money in a separate account till the matter is finally decided by the court. He fairly proposes that the admission of the students should proceed as per the merit list upon proper verification.
9. Mr. Baidya, learned advocate for the respondent no. 1 to 3 submits that the university is willing to verify the documents of the 36 students who have been admitted by the petitioner as well as the students admitted by respondent no.5 and proceed for admission as per merit list. He informs that such verification can be done on 26th May, 2026 in the university premises, if the court so directs.

10. Upon considering the rival submission of the parties, this Court is of the *prima facie* view that there are dispute between two groups trying to control the management of the college. Be that as it may, the career and interest of the students needs to be protected. Indisputably, the 36 numbers of student whose documentation could not be completed online figures in the merit list of the university. On 21st May, 2025 request was made by petitioner no.2 before the University to verify 36 applicants who have been admitted to the college for B.Ed course session 2025-2027, since the admission login was deactivated due to some technical problem.
11. In such backdrop, following *interim* direction is issued.
 - i) The respondent no.1-University is directed to verify the documents of the students who have completed their documentation through respondent no.5 and the 36 candidates who have applied to the petitioners. Such verification of documents shall be made in the university premises on 26th May 2026, during office hours. The candidates shall report to the university on the dated fixed as above for verification of their documents.
 - ii) The admission of the students in the B.Ed course should be completed strictly as per the merit list.
 - iii) The detailed accounts of requisite fees, if any, received by the petitioners from the 36 students shall be provided to the university and the remaining amount after causing necessary expenses with its

detailed accounts shall be deposited in the university within seven days of completion of the fourth phase of admission.

iv) The university shall keep all these money in a separate bank account and shall invest the same with a nationalized bank in a fixed deposit scheme until further direction.

12. The aforesaid interim order is passed keeping in mind the emergent circumstances.

13. Respondents no. 1 to 3 and respondent no.5 are directed to file affidavit-in-opposition within a period of two (2) weeks on reopening after ensuing summer vacation. Affidavit-in-reply if any, be filed within a week thereafter.

14. The point maintainability of the writ petition is kept open and shall be decided at the time of final disposal of the writ petition.

15. The parties shall be a liberty to mention before the regular Bench for further consideration upon notice to each other.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)