

05.08.2026
Court No.25
D/L No.3
S. Gayen

In the High Court at Calcutta

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

WPA 12388 of 2024

with

CAN 10 of 2026

Shri Umang Kejriwal & Anr.

Versus

UCO Bank & Anr.

Mr. Ratnanko Banerjee, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Suddhasatva Banerjee

Mr. Dhruv Chadda

Mr. Paritosh Sinha

Mr. Saubhik Chowdhury

Ms. Sayantani Banerjee

...for the Petitioners

Mr. Sourjya Roy

...for UCO Bank

1. The petitioners have filed the present application being CAN 10 of 2026 for extension of interim order.
2. Learned counsel for the bank prays for extension of time to file affidavit-in-opposition.
3. Let the affidavit-in-opposition be filed within a period of three weeks and reply, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the interim order is till today and prays for extension of the same.
5. This Court finds that the petitioners are already enjoying the interim order since the filing of the writ application. Accordingly, the interim order is extended till the disposal of the writ application or until further order, whichever is earlier.

6. CAN 10 of 2026 is disposed of.
7. Let the matter appear on September 24, 2026 under the heading 'Adjourned Motion'.

(Krishna Rao, J.)