

22.06.2026
Court No.25
D/L No.10
S. Gayen

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 12388 of 2024
with
CAN 9 of 2026
Shri Umang Kejriwal & Anr.
Versus
UCO Bank & Anr.

Mr. Dhruv Chadda
Mr. Paritosh Sinha
Mr. Saubhik Chowdhury
Ms. Sayantani Banerjee

...for the Petitioners

Mr. Sourjya Roy

...for UCO Bank

1. The petitioners have filed the present application being CAN 9 of 2026 for extension of interim order.
2. This Court finds that since the filing of the writ petition, the petitioners are enjoying interim order. In spite of several orders passed by this Court, the bank failed to file the affidavit-in-opposition. The learned counsel appearing for the bank submits that they have prepared the written statement and submitted to the bank but it has not been returned after the approval of the competent authority.
3. Considering the above, the respondents are directed to file affidavit-in-opposition within three weeks from date, failing which, no further opportunity will be granted to file written statement and reply, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

4. Let the matter appear on August 5, 2026 under the same heading.
5. The interim order is extended till August 5, 2026 or until further order, whichever is earlier.
6. Accordingly, CAN 9 of 2026 is disposed of.
7. All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Krishna Rao, J.)