

**10.06.2026**

**Serial no. 18**

[Srimanta]

Ct. No. - 29

**IA No.:CRAN/1/2026**  
**in**  
**CRR/2174/2026**

In re : An Application under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure

-And-

**In the matter of : XXX AND ANOTHER**

**... .. Petitioners**

*Mr. Angshuman Chakraborty,*  
*Mr. Shanshanka Shekhar Saha, Advocates*

*... .. For the Petitioners.*

*Mr. Sk. Masud Hasan*

*... ..For the Opposite Party No. 2.*

1. The petitioners herein have prayed for quashing of the FIR that gave rise to Kotwali Police Station Case No. 185/2026 dated 17.02.2026 under Sections 3(5)/316(2)/351(2)/69/79 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 3(1)(r) of the Schedule Caste and Schedule Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 presently pending before learned 1<sup>st</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Krishnagar, Nadia.
2. Being aggrieved by the aforesaid proceeding, learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that during investigation the dispute between the petitioners and the opposite party no. 2 herein/de facto complainant of the

case has been amicably settled and petitioner no. 1 and opposite party no. 2 have got married with each other and after the said marriage on 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2026, they are at present leading their matrimonial life peacefully.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 submits that in view of amicable settlement and in view of the factum of marriage between the opposite party no. 2 and petitioner no. 1, his client does not want to proceed any further with the criminal proceeding and, therefore, further continuance of the impugned proceeding would be nothing but mere abuse of the process of the Court. This is also because the opposite party no. 2 has decided not to support the imputation levelled against any of the petitioners in the interest of her future matrimonial life. They have also filed one connected application being CRAN/1/2026 recording settlement.
4. Having heard learned Counsel for the petitioners, the application stands admitted.
5. Petitioners are directed to serve a copy of the application upon the State through the office of the learned Public Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta.
6. Learned Counsel for the petitioners also prays for an interim relief.
7. Having heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and the opposite party no. 2/de facto complainant and that in view

of amicable settlement between the parties, there is hardly any chance of absconsion of the petitioners, let the investigation proceed but the Investigating Agency shall not take any coercive step against the FIR named accused persons for a period of four weeks or until further order, whichever is earlier.

8. Let the matter be listed on 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2026 under the heading 'To Be Mentioned'.
9. State is directed to produce the case diary on the date fixed.

**(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)**