

04.08.2025
Item No.24.
Monthly List
Court No.42
Mithun

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA.
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

IA No.CAAN 1 of 2025

In

CRM (R) 34 of 2025

In Re:- An application for relaxation of the conditions of bail under Section 439(1)(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with POCSO Case No.14 of 2025 corresponding to ST(Spl) Case No.3(5) of 2025 arising out of Balagarh Police Station Case No.71 of 2025 dated February 11, 2025 under Sections 126(2)/74 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 wherein charge sheet has been submitted vide charge sheet no.77 of 2025 dated March 21, 2025 under Sections 126(2)/75(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Section 8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 now pending before the learned Judge, Exclusive POCSO Court, Chinsurah, Hooghly

-And-

In the matter of : Pradip Dey @ Pradip Kumar Dey @ Prodip Dey

... Petitioner

Mr. Navanil De,
Ms. Monami Mukherjee

... ... For the Petitioner

Mr. Tirupati Mukherjee

... ...For the State

Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

It is found that service has not been effected upon the *de facto* complainant/victim

Accordingly, let the State effect service and file a service report on the next date. In the notice to be served by the State, it

should be mentioned that the de-facto complainant/victim has a right to be represented through a lawyer to be engaged by the Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee for which no cost need be borne by the de-facto complainant/victim. The notice should be in a language which the *de-facto* complainant /victim can comprehend.

State is also directed to file a report regarding compliance of the bail conditions by the petitioner.

List this matter on **8th August, 2025**.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)