

Ct.No.1 D/L 16.04.2026
50&51

Saikat
Mukherjee

WP.CT/102/2016
WITH
CAN/11777/2016
WITH
CAN/7137/2017
WITH
CAN/3/2022

STEEL AUTHORITY OF INDIA LIMITED
VS.
SHILA GHOSH & ORS.

WITH
WP.CT/104/2016
WITH
CAN/11777/2016
WITH
CAN/7137/2017
WITH
CAN/7100/2018
WITH
CAN/4/2021

THE GENRAL SECRETARY, ALL INDIA CO-ORDINATION
COMM.,SAIL
VS.
UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Mr. Kaustav Banerjee, Adv.

...For the Petitioner

Dictated by **Partha Sarathi Sen, J.**

In Re: CAN 3 of 2022

1. Learned advocate for the petitioner prays for an accommodation.
2. Such prayer is considered and rejected.
3. On perusal of the instant interlocutory application being CAN 3 of 2022 it reveals that by filing this instant interlocutory application the petitioner has prayed for substitution of the legal heirs and representatives of the deceased Respondent No.1, particulars of whom have

been mentioned paragraph-4 of the instant interlocutory application.

4. In absence of any opposition, we find no reason to reject the prayer.
5. Accordingly, prayer for substitution is considered and allowed.
6. Let the name of the deceased Respondent No.1 be deleted from the cause-title of the instant writ petition and in its place the names and particulars of the substituted respondents being Shibnath Ghosh and Shatorup Ghosh be incorporated in the cause-title.
7. Department is directed to carry out such insertion/deletion in terms of this order.
8. With the aforementioned observation, **CAN 3 of 2022** is **disposed of**.

In Re: WP.CT 102 of 2016 & WP.CT 104 of 2016

9. Learned advocate on behalf of the petitioner is directed to cause service of notice upon the newly substituted respondents along with a copy of the instant petition by speed post and to file affidavit-of-service on the adjourned day.
10. Let this matter be listed in the Monthly List of June, 2026, under the same heading.
- 11.** Liberty to mention.

(SUJOY PAUL, C.J.)

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)