

17.09.2025
D/L 17
Ct.No.42.
ab

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Special Civil Jurisdiction
(Contempt)
Appellate Side**

**WPCRC 130 of 2025
In
WPA 19550 of 2024**

**Mrs. Sukla Das & Ors.
Vs.
Md. Ghulam Ali Ansari & Anr.**

Mr. Ayan Banerjee,
Ms. Debasree Dhamali,
Ms. Riya Ghosh

...for the petitioners/applicants

Mr. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay,
Mr. Priyabrata Batabyal

... for the alleged contemnors

1. Mr. Ayan Banerjee, learned advocate for the applicants/writ petitioners submit that the order passed by the alleged contemnors is not in compliance with the direction as issued by this Hon'ble Court *vide* order dated 1st May, 2024 passed in WPA 19550 of 2024. As per order of this Hon'ble Court, it was specifically indicated that the notifications of the years 1988, 1991 and 2001 are to be taken into consideration while deciding the representation of the petitioners. However, the alleged contemnors have passed order holding that there is no scope for consideration of the prayer of the petitioners as per old notifications, which is non-compliance and over-reaching of the order passed by this Hon'ble Court.

2. At this stage, Mr. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay, learned advocate appearing for the alleged contemnors seeks certain time to reconsider the representation of the petitioners strictly in terms of the order of this Court dated 1st May, 2024 in WPA 19550 of 2024.
3. In view of the above submissions, accordingly, the alleged contemnors are directed to reconsider the representation of the petitioners strictly in terms of the order passed by this Court on 1st May, 2024 in the writ petition, by the returnable date.
4. The alleged contemnors are directed to furnish fresh compliance report by the returnable date.
5. List this matter on **14th November, 2025** under the same heading.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)