

04.05.2026

Sl. No.139.

M/L.

Mithun.

Ct.No.29.

CRR/1844/2026

With

IA No: CRAN 1 of 2026

Rudra Narayan Banerje @ Rudra N. Banerjee

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Arup Chatterjee,

Mrs. Priti Jain

...for the petitioner

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.,

Ms. Namrata Chatterjee

...for opposite party no.2

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the instant criminal proceeding had arisen out of family disputes but the petitioner and the complainant have already settled their dispute and to that extent they have filed one connected application being CRAN 1 of 2026.

In such view of the matter, the petitioner submits that further continuance of the impugned proceeding would be mere abuse of the process of the Court.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party submits that she has received the copy of application and she being the *de facto* complainant also does not want to proceed with the criminal proceeding in view of amicable settlement.

Having heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, the application is admitted.

Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of application upon the State through the office of Public Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta.

Let the matter be listed under the heading "To Be mentioned" on 18th May, 2026 along with connected application being CRAN 1 of 2026.

State is directed to produce the Case Diary on the date fixed.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)