

04.05.2026

Sl. No.133.

M/L.

Mithun.

Ct.No.29.

CRR/1830/2026
With
IA No: CRAN 1 of 2026

Sanjay Banerjee & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.,
Ms. Namrata Chatterjee

...for the petitioners

Mr. Arup Chatterjee,
Mrs. Priti Jain

...for opposite party no.2

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the instant criminal proceeding had arisen out of family disputes but the petitioners and the complainant have already settled their dispute and to that extent they have filed one connected application being CRAN 1 of 2026.

In such view of the matter, the petitioners submit that further continuance of the impugned proceeding would be mere abuse of the process of the Court.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party submits that she has received the copy of application and she being the *de facto* complainant also does not want to proceed with the criminal proceeding in view of amicable settlement.

Having heard learned Counsel for the petitioners, the application is admitted.

Petitioners are directed to serve a copy of application upon the State through the office of Public Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta.

Let the matter be listed under the heading "To Be mentioned" on 18th May, 2026 along with connected application being CRAN 1 of 2026.

State is directed to produce the Case Diary on the date fixed.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)