

13  
**18.03.2026**  
Ct. No.07  
J.Das

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION  
APPELLATE SIDE

**WPA 9145 of 2023**

TAPAN CHATTOPADHYAY  
VS  
UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

Mr. Dilip Kumar Samanta  
Mr. Biswapriya Samanta  
...For the petitioner

Mr. Baidurya Ghosal  
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee  
...For the respondent nos.3 & 4

1. The dispute between the parties arises out of a loan agreement. The petitioner is a small scale trader and had taken a loan of Rs.15 lacs. It is an admitted position that the petitioner had paid an aggregate amount of Rs.28 lacs to the respondent Paschim Banga Gramin Bank (now change to West Bengal Gramin Bank) being the respondent no.3.
2. In view of the nature of the disputes that are involved and the peculiar facts and circumstances the Regional Manager of the respondent no.3 is directed to see whether there can be an amicable settlement by and between the parties.
3. List this matter on 30 March 2026. In the meantime, both parties are requested to try to arrive at an amicable consensus.

4. A copy of the statement handed over by the respondent bank be kept with the records.

**(Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.)**