

17.02.2025  
Sl. No.6(DL)  
Ct. No.39  
srm

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION  
APPELLATE SIDE**

**W.P.A. No. 8739 of 2024**

**EVANA Electricals Private Limited**

**Versus**

**State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Ms. Debanwita Pramanik

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Subhra Prakash Lahiri,

Mr. Arka Mondal

...for the State-respondents.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Inadvertent error has crept into order dated 10<sup>th</sup> February, 2025 noting the name of the learned Advocate for the petitioner as “*Ms. P. Chakraborty*” instead of “*Ms. Debanwita Pramanik*”, learned Advocate.

Let the name of “*Ms. Debanwita Pramanik*”, learned Advocate be read in place of “*Ms. P. Chakraborty*” in the order dated 10<sup>th</sup> February, 2025.

The aforesaid order is modified to the above extent.

The other portion of the order shall remain unaltered.

This writ petition has been filed for disbursement of outstanding amount of Rs.6,61,954/- along with interest in terms of notification issued by RBI being No.RBI/2006-2007/306 dated 4<sup>th</sup> April, 2007 in favour of the petitioner by *Itachuna Khanyan Gram Panchayat*.

Ms. Debanwita Pramanik, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that work order was issued for repairing/installation of new LED street lights in different sansads of *Itachuna Khanyan Gram Panchayat*. The execution of the work was stopped in the midway by the Pradhan. The installations were done as per the work order and an outstanding amount of Rs.6,61,954/- was payable to the petitioner by the concerned gram panchayat. Though representations as well as demand of justice were made before the Pradhan of *Itachuna Khanyan Gram Panchayat*, respondent No.6, for disbursement of the outstanding amount, however, the said amount has not been disbursed as yet. Hence this writ petition.

Mr. Subhra Prakash Lahiri, learned Advocate appearing for the State-respondents submits that the work orders were issued on the basis of NIQ without calling for an NIT, although the value of the entire work was more than Rs.1,00,000/-. Therefore, work orders issued in favour of the petitioner and the work

implemented by the petitioner in respect of such work orders is beyond the norms of the government rules and regulations. He seeks to file report in the form of an affidavit.

Let such report in the form of an affidavit be filed by the State-respondents within a period of two weeks. Exception to such report, if any, be filed within a week thereafter.

List this matter after three weeks under the heading "**Returnable Motion**".

**(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)**