

16/04/2026
D/L – 259
Court No.28
S. Kundu

C.R.M.(M) 71 of 2025

In Re: An application for cancellation of bail under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with Section 483(3) of the BNSS.

In the matter of: Puja Mallick

...Petitioner.

Mr. Kallol Kr. Basu
Md. Jannat Ul Firdous
Mr. Rajsekhar Hota

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Debabrata Chatterjee
Mr. MFA Begg

...for the State.

1. Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.
2. It appears that some of the accused could be served with notice though the other accused residing at the same address as claimed by the petitioner could not be served with notice.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that being infuriated with non-production of the case diary by the State on repeated occasions, the learned Sessions Judge granted anticipatory bail in favour of the accused without perusing the case diary. The learned Judge did not even want to find out whether the case diary was not being produced purposely.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State produces the case diary and relies on the statements of witnesses including the victim and the injury report.
5. Let the Investigating Officer serve a notice upon the private opposite parties by speed post with AD within a week and affidavit of service shall be filed to that effect on the next date of hearing.
6. List this matter for further consideration under the same heading on 30/04/2026.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)