

22.04.2026

Sl. No.: 20-21

Court No.2

BM

WPA 6829 of 2025

RAHUL SHAW

VS

STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.

With

WPA 7781 of 2025

ANURADHA GUPTA

VS

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS.

Mr. N. I. Khan

Mr. Amal Kumar Mukherjee

Mr. Dilip Kumar Mondal

Mr. Chiranjib Das

.... for the petitioner (in WPA 7781 of 2025)

Mr. Amal Kumar Sen

Mr. Ashima Das(Sil)

... for the State(in WPA 6829 of 2025)

Mr. Pantu Deb Roy

Mr. Pannalal Bandopadhyay

... for the State(in WPA 7781 of 2025)

Mr. N. I. Khan

Mr. Amal Kumar Mukherjee

Mr. Dilip Kumar Mondal

Mr. Chiranjib Das

... for the private respondent(in WPA 6829 of 2025)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties state at bar that the issue involve in both the matters are identical and therefore, the pleadings filed in one may be read in other also.

2. Affidavit in opposition on behalf of the respondent nos.2,3,4 has been filed in WPA 7781 of 2025.

3. Learned Government Pleader submits that same opposition could be read in WPA 6829 of 2025. Similarly, learned counsel for the opposite party no.6 has filed opposition in WPA 6829 of 2025 and states at

bar that the same may be read as WPA 7781 of 2025. However, affidavit in reply in WPA 6829 of 2025 is not available on record.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner in WPA 7781 of 2025 submit his opposition in WPA 6829 of 2025 may be read as reply in WPA 7781 of 2025.

5. Let both the matter be listed under category of 'Hearing' in the month of May, 2026.

6. In the meanwhile, parties are directed to file brief written note of argument not exceeding five pages with copy to the other party within four weeks.

(Dinesh Kumar Sharma, J.)