

s/L 17+18
18.12.2025
Court. No. 25
suwayan

WPA 17878 of 2025
With
CAN 1 of 2025
With
CAN 2 of 2025

Debasish Bhattacharjee
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7563 of 2025

Shri Simanta Bhattacharjee
Vs.
The West Bengal Pharmacy Council (WBPC) & Ors.

Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Samrat Dey Paul
Mr. Subhomoy Paul

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Amal Kr. Sen, AAG
Mr. Lal Mohan Basu

...for the State in WPA 17878 of 2025.

Ms. Swati Agarwal
Mr. A. Mandal

...for the applicant in CAN 1 of 2025.

Mr. Arindam Samanta

...for the applicant in CAN 2 of 2025.

Mr. Joydip Kar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Soham Banerjee
Mr. Parashar Baidya

...for the W. B. Pharmacy Council.

Mr. Suman Sengupta
Mrs. Amrita Panja Moulick

...for the State in WPA 7563 of 2025.

1. The matter is listed today under the heading "To Be Mentioned" on the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner for extension of interim order.
2. The learned counsel for the respondents as well as the applicants who had filed an application for addition of

party has raised an objection for extension of interim order.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent no. 3 submits that the petitioner has challenged the notification dated July 28, 2025 wherein the name of the petitioner has not been included. He submitted that the order can be modified by taking into consideration of the interest of the petitioner and allowing the Council to constitute a committee so that the Council cannot be affected by any interim order.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the coordinate Bench has passed the order which was extended from time to time and the respondents have not filed any application for vacating or modification of the interim order.
5. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that one of the applicant is the elected member and another is the nominated member. He submits that due to the interim order the applicants are facing great difficulties as the Council is not functioning.
6. The petitioner has filed affidavit-in-opposition in connection with CAN 1 of 2025.
7. The learned counsel for the applicant prays for time to file affidavit-in-reply. Let affidavit-in-reply be filed within a week.
8. This Court finds that the petitioner is enjoying the interim order since August 25, 2025, the writ petition is to be decided on merit. It would not be appropriate to modify the interim order at this stage.

9. The respondents are directed to file affidavit-in-opposition to the writ application within two weeks. Reply, if any, there to be filed within a week thereafter.
10. List the matter on January 28, 2026 along with WPA 7563 of 2025 at 2.00 p.m. under the heading "Specially Fixed Matter".
11. The interim order is extended till January 28, 2026 or until further order whichever is earlier.
12. In the meantime the petitioner is also liberty to file affidavit-in-opposition in connection with CAN 2 of 2025 within a week. Reply, if any, there to be filed within a week thereafter.
13. Exception filed by the petitioner be kept with the record.

(Krishna Rao, J.)