

M/L 139
07.05.2026
Court No.18
SD

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 7399 of 2026

Saibal Ghosh Dastidar

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Manos Kumar Ghosh

Ms. Susmita Dey (Basu)

Mr. Antorik Dawn

...for the Petitioner.

Ms. Sahina Sumi

...for the CSTC.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today be kept with the records.
2. The petitioner is a retired employee of the Calcutta State Transport Corporation (CSTC). He alleges that some amount is due to him on account of leave encashment.
3. It appears that there is no representation from the side of the petitioner demanding his claim before the authority.
4. Prior to filing the instant writ petition, the petitioner ought to have approached the authority with his prayer for disbursement of leave encashment which is alleged to be due. Without filing the representation, the instant writ petition ought not to have been filed.
5. Nevertheless, as prayer has been made seeking liberty to file representation, the instant writ petition stands disposed of with the observation that it will be open for the petitioner to file

representation before the authority highlighting his grievance.

6. If any representation is made, the same shall be considered by the authority in accordance with the prevailing rules.
7. A reasoned order shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.
8. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)