

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

Ct.551
Item No.47-48

09.06.25
Sws.M

WPA 6829 of 2025

**Rahul Shaw
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

With

WPA 7781 of 2025

**Anuradha Gupta
Vs
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. N.I. Khan
Mr. Amlan Kr. Mukherjee
Mr. Dilip Kr. Mandal

....for the petitioner
in WPA 7781 of 2025

Mr. Amal Kumar Sen, Ld. AGP
Ms. Ashima Das (Sil)

...for the State
in WPA 6829 of 2025

Mr. Pantu Deb Roy, Ld. AGP
Mr. Pannalal Bandopadhyay

...for the State
in WPA 7781 of 2025

The petitioner in WPA 6829 of 2025 remains
unrepresented.

The respondents are represented through Mr. Sen,
learned A.G.P.

Both the parties are represented in WPA 7781 of
2025.

Mr. Deb Roy, learned AGP prays for extension of time to file the Affidavit-in-Opposition on behalf of the State-respondents.

Mr. Sen submits that since the point involved in both the writ petitions is same, the Affidavit used by the State in WPA 7781 of 2025 would suffice for the purpose of WPA 6829 of 2025 as well.

In such view of the matter, time fixed for filing Affidavit-in-Opposition on behalf of the State-respondents is extended by two weeks from date. Affidavit-in-Reply thereto, if any, shall be filed by the petitioner within a week thereafter.

Let this matter appear for hearing three weeks hence.

(Om Narayan Rai , J.)