

**In the High Court at Calcutta**  
Civil Revisional Jurisdiction  
Appellate Side

39 23.3.2026  
Sc Ct. no.6

Case No. : **C.O. 1029 OF 2026**

**In the matter of :** Shri Raj Kumar Saraf  
..... Plaintiff/Petitioner  
VS.  
Shri Pankaj Kedia  
..... Defendant/Opposite Party

**For the Plaintiff/Petitioner:**

Ms. Sabita Mukherjee  
Ms. Sukriti Sengupta.

....Advocates

1. This revisional application is directed against an order dated December 17, 2005 passed by the learned Judge, Bench 6, Presidency Small Cause Court, Calcutta whereby the opposite party's application for amendment of the written statement has been allowed.
2. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that such amendment has been prayed for and allowed after closure of the defendant's evidence. It is further submitted that the learned trial court has allowed the amendment despite recording that the defendant has not shown due diligence.
3. The petitioner shall serve a copy of this revisional application along with a notice on the opposite party intimating him that the matter shall be taken up next on **March 31, 2026**.

**(Om Narayan Rai, J.)**