

27.03.2025
Item No.3
Ali
ct. no.24

WPA 6484 of 2025

**Md. Rahul Karim
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Syed E. Huda,
Sk. Aptabuddin,
Ms. Nabeela Akbar

..... for the petitioner.

Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner, is taken on record.

None appears on behalf of the State respondent or private respondent.

The present petitioner challenged the appointment of private respondent No. 5 as an MR Shop Dealer in several grounds including the ground that at the time of making application in terms of vacancy notification vide Memo No. 76/SCFS/ISP/2023 dated 06.02.2023, the private respondent was an elected member of the Gram Panchayat.

Mr. Syed E. Huda, learned advocate for the petitioner frankly submits that the licence of MR Dealership has already been issued in favour of private respondent and he is now distributing articles to the PDS beneficiaries.

Having heard the submission on behalf of the petitioner, I think it necessary to make a specific direction upon the private respondent and the State respondent to file respective affidavit-in-opposition within three weeks from the date, exception, if any, be filed by the petitioner within two weeks thereafter.

Petitioner to serve notice.

Let the matter go out of list.

Parties are at liberty to mention.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)