

06-05-2026
Item No.7
Subrata
Bhattacharyya
AR(C)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA No.6249 of 2026

Bijeta Chettri

-vs-

The Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh
Mr. Ratikanta Pal
Mr. Kaushik Bandopadhyay
Ms. Deshma Ghosh ...for the petitioner

Mr. Debapriya Gupta
Mr. Anish Kumar Mukherjee
...for the Union of India

1. The order passed against the petitioner by the disciplinary authority affirmed by the appellate authority and the Reviewing Authority is impugned in the instant writ petition.
2. After hearing the parties, I am of the view that the matter is required to be heard upon exchange of affidavits.
3. Hence, the respondents shall file affidavit-in-opposition within eight weeks from date; reply thereto, if any, by three weeks thereafter.
4. Liberty to mention the writ petition for final hearing.
5. The interim order prayed for by the petitioner restraining the authority to take any step for vacating her official accommodation is disallowed by the Court in view of the reason that the petitioner lost at three levels: first before the disciplinary authority, second before the appellate authority, and finally before the revisional

authority.

6. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

[Amrita Sinha, J]