

S/L 18  
20.04.2026  
Court. No. 25  
*sourav*

**WPA 5770 of 2026**

**Paymm Advisory Private Limited  
Vs.  
Reserve Bank of India & Ors.**

*Mr. Akash Dutta  
Mr. Manish Biswas  
Mr. Pulkit Ranjan*

*...for the petitioner.*

*Mr. Sayak Ranjan Ganguly  
Ms. Srijani Ghosh*

*...for the respondent nos. 2 and 3.*

1. Learned counsel for the Bank has handed over the communication and submits that on the basis of the instruction of the Local Crime Branch, Ratnagiri District, the account of the petitioner has been freezed.
2. This Court finds that the petitioner has not made the Local Crime Branch, Ratnagiri District as a party respondent on whose instruction the Bank has freezed the account of the petitioner.
3. Accordingly, the petitioner is given liberty to make the Superintendent of Police, Local Crime Branch of Ratnagiri District as respondent no. 4 in course of the day and to serve notice upon the said added respondent and to file the affidavit on the next date fixed.
4. The added respondent is directed to file the report on the date fixed. It is further made clear that on the date fixed, in spite of receipt of notice if the added respondent will not appear and not file any report, the matter will be taken up for hearing in their absence.

5. Let the matter appear on May 6, 2026 under the same heading.

**(Krishna Rao, J.)**