

20.06.2025
Ct. No.33
Sl. No.41
cm

WPA 6377 of 2021

Raj Kumar Pal & Ors.
Vs
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Uday Narayan Betal
Mr. Mriganka Patra
... for the petitioners

Mr. Swapan Kumar Datta
Mr. Dipankar Dasgupta
... for the State

Ms. Sutapa Sanyal
Mr. Debrup Bhattacharjee
Mr. Pradeep Kr. Tulsyan
... for the respondent Nos. 2 to 3

Mr. Suman Dey
... for the respondent Nos. 4 & 5

Heard the submission of the learned advocates representing the respective parties.

The learned advocate representing the respondent Nos. 2 and 3/Board submitted that a Coordinate Bench of this Court passed an order whereby the grievance of the petitioners therein being similarly circumstanced with the instant writ petition falling within the ambit of 204 casual workers whose interest had been protected by the State ought not to have been considered.

The learned advocate representing the respondent Nos. 2 and 3/Board is to file the orders pertaining to such writ petitions by the other petitioners claiming similar relief. She is to file a chart as to whether those petitioners in the writ petitions mentioned by her along with the instant petitioners fall within the number of 204 casual workers whose interest had been claimed to be protected

by the State as submitted by the learned advocate representing the State. A report in the form of an affidavit be filed on the next date of hearing.

Let the matter be fixed on 15th September, 2025.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)