

23.03.2026.  
Item No. 12.  
Court No. 652  
ap

**W.P.A. 5454 of 2025**

**Smt. Rama Samanta  
Versus  
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Mit Guha Roy,  
Ms. Sneha Saha.

...For the petitioner.

Mr. Suddhadev Adak,  
Ms. Sukanya Datta.

...For the State.

1. The Case Diary is produced in Court today.
2. Mr. Suddhadev Adak, learned Advocate for the State respondents has specifically relied on a report supplied by the Joint Commissioner of Police (Crime), Kolkata on the earlier date and also the statements of the friends of the victim as available in the Case Diary.
3. He says that as per witnesses' statements, the death of the victim has occurred due to accident caused because of rash driving.
4. He says further that at the place of occurrence, there was no coverage by any of the CCTVs installed over the Diamond Harbour Road. According to him, the respective CCTV cameras were installed at places not covering the place of occurrence. That the place of occurrence has been out of range of the cameras installed at two nearby places, on either direction or the place of occurrence.
5. Later on Mr. Suddhadev Adak, learned Advocate for the State respondents has relied on, from the Case Diary, a letter of the Officer-in-Charge, Behala Police

Station dated February 24, 2024, in which the Officer-in-charge, Behala Police Station has requested DCTP to arrange for CCTV Footage of Diamond Harbour Road and Behala State Bank Crossing near Borough-XIV Office on February 12, 2024 from 00:15 hours to 01.15 hours and also to preserve the same.

6. Therefore, according to State, there is no shortfall in due process of investigation so far as the collecting CCTV Footage is concerned.

7. However, it appears that there is no recording as regards collection and preservation of CCTV footage, in the CD during the course of further investigation either of the place of occurrence or the surrounding places.

8. In such circumstances, it is found proper to direct the Joint Commissioner of Police (Crime), Kolkata to submit a further report before this Court as to whether CCTV footage of the place of occurrence or the surrounding places have at all been collected, preserved and made part of the case diary or not, during the course of further investigation.

9. Let the report as above be submitted before this Court within a period of two weeks from date.

10. Case Diary be returned and produced again on the next date of hearing.

11. This matter shall appear again after two weeks.

***(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)***