

22.05.2026  
27.  
Bd.  
Ct. 29

**CRR 1049 of 2026**

**Jayanta Kundu**

**Vs.**

**Srabani Kundu**

**Mrs. Puja Goswami ... for the petitioner.**

In this application the petitioner is aggrieved with the order passed by learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, 3<sup>rd</sup> Court, Bankura in Criminal Revision No. 44 of 2022 by which the Court below had enhanced the interim maintenance amount to be paid to the opposite party/wife from Rs. 10,000/- per month to Rs. 25,000/- per month.

Being aggrieved by the aforesaid order, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Court below has swayed by the submission made by learned counsel for the opposite party that the husband used to earn Rs. 1,65,000/- per month. In fact the affidavit of assets and liabilities filed by the petitioner discloses that his monthly income is Rs. 1,20,000/- and therefore the order impugned by which the court below has enhanced the maintenance amount is not sustainable in the eye of law.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the application stands admitted.

Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of application upon the opposite party through speed post intimating next date of hearing and to file affidavit of service on the returnable date.

Let the matter be listed under the heading  
“Contested Application” on 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2026.

Petitioner will be at liberty to pray for interim relief  
after serving copy to the opposite party.

**(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)**