

08.04.2025
Sl. No. 33
AMR
Ct.No.655

CRA 125 of 2005

In the matter of : **Atul Das & Ors.**

.... Appellants

Mr. Rabisankar Chattopadhyay

...for the Appellants.

1. Appellant is represented by the learned advocate.
2. State is not represented.
3. None appears on behalf of the respondent no. 2.
4. It appears from the report submitted by the department that the direction passed by this Court upon the concerned police station for production of the appellants before the Court has been duly communicated to the Superintendent of Police, Coochbehar, but no compliance report has been received to that effect.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Coochbehar is hereby directed to submit an explanation regarding non compliance of the direction passed by this Court dated 21.5.2024 on the date fixed.
6. Department is directed to communicate this order to the Superintendent of Police, Coochbehar immediately.

7. As the State is not represented, learned Public Prosecutor of this Court is hereby requested to appoint an advocate from the panel list to represent the State in this case.
8. Department is directed to communicate this order to the office of the learned Public Prosecutor of this Court.
9. Let the matter appear in the list on 14th May, 2025.

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)