

14.
(DL)

05.03.2026
Ct. No. 05
(ARPAN)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
(Appellate Side)

W.P.A. 4588 OF 2026

KAMAL GHOSH

VS.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND OTHERS

Mr. Prantick Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Prasad Bhattacharyya, Adv.

Ms. Shravani Ghosh, Adv.

...for the Petitioner

Mr. Prokuti Bandhopadhyay, Adv.

Mr. Akash Dutta, Adv.

...for the State

1. Affidavit-of-service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.
2. Matter is heard in presence of the learned advocates representing the petitioner and the State respondents.
3. It is submitted by the learned advocate representing the petitioner that by virtue of the order dated 15th December, 2025 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in CRM(DB) 2733 of 2022 with CRAN 1 of 2024 there is no embargo so far petitioner is concerned to do his business but it is alleged that petitioner is obstructed by the respondent no.4 based on conditions imposed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in the order dated 30th November, 2023 passed in CRM(DB) 2733 of 2022 being application for cancellation of bail.
4. Having considered the case made out on behalf of the petitioner, Court desires to hear respondent no.4

who is not represented today in spite of serving notice upon him.

5. Learned advocate for the petitioner is directed to serve another notice upon the respondent no.4 enclosing copy of this order by seven (7) days from date and file affidavit-of-service on the next date.
6. List the matter under the same heading for further consideration on 23rd March, 2026.
7. However, it is made clear that if respondent no.4 in spite of service of notice is not represented on the next date, matter shall be heard in absence of the respondent no.4.

(SAUGATA BHATTACHARYYA, J.)