

S/L 59
23.03.2026
Court No.18
SD

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

WPA 4415 of 2026

Shyamali Das Sukul

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Benazir Shaikh

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra

...for the Union of India.

Mr. Akash Dutta

...for the State.

Mr. Sourav Mondal

...for the Respondent No.6.

Mr. Prakash Ch. Pandey

...for the Respondent No.7.

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today be kept with the records.
2. The petitioner claims to be the wife of the private respondent who is a retired IPS officer. The marriage between the parties took place post retirement of the officer.
3. She alleges that her husband has not recorded her name as wife in his service records. She seeks direction upon the respondent authority to record her name as nominee in the service records of the retired employee.
4. As it appears that the husband of the petitioner was an employee of the Central Government, accordingly, the writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India cannot be entertained by the learned Single Judge of this Court as the Court of first instance.

5. In view of the above, the instant writ petition stands dismissed for want of jurisdiction to decide the issue.

6. It will be open for the petitioner to approach the competent forum for relief in accordance with law, if so advised.

7. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)