

**04.04.2025
Item no.07
Court No.50**

**Mrinal Kanti Samanta
Vs.
Smt. Mira Gupta & Ors.**

Ld. Advocate Mr. Sandip Ghosh appears for appellant.

Seen the report of the superintendent of 'SR' section and the following defects are still subsisting in the instant appeal:

- 1.** This instant memo of appeal is barred by 706 days delay. But no application for condonation for delay with the memo of appeal has yet been filed.
- 2.** The name of the respondent no. 6 of the memo of the appeal does not tally with the cause title of the impugned decree.
- 3.** The date of judgement furnished in the impugned decree needs rectification under the light of order 20, Rule-7 C.P.C.

With regard to the defect mentioned in first place. The Ld. Advocate for the appellants submits that the appellant has already submitted an application for condonation of delay, registered as CAN 02/25.

Ld. Advocate for the appellant further submits that the defect mentioned in point no. 2 will be rectified by him in due course of time.

As regards, the defects mentioned by the department in point no. 3, let the certified copy of the decree be sent back to the Ld. Trial Court i.e. Ld. Civil Judge, (Sr. Divn), Bankura for necessary rectification.

Ld. View of the above, Ld. Trial Court is requested to retransmit the said certified copy of the decree after making the necessary rectification within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

**(Kallol Chattopadhyay)
Registrar Administration (L&OM)**

