

12.04.2024
Ct. No. 19
Item No.11
Cp

C.O. No. 526 of 2024

Shri Umang Kejriwal & Anr.
Vs.
State Bank of India & Ors.

Mr. Saktinath Mukherjee, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Paritosh Sinha
Mr. Aniruddha Chatterjee
Mr. Siddhartha banerjee
Ms. Sarita Sinha
Ms. Sonia Nandy

.....for the petitioners.

Mr. Amandeep Singh
Mr. Rohit Anand Das
Mr. Piyush Kumar Jain

.....for the Bank of Baroda.

Mr. Avishek Guha
Mr. Sonal Agarwal

....for the ICICI Bank.

Affidavit of service is taken on record.

It appears that opposite party nos. 2, 8, 14, 15, 17, 18 and 22 have not been served with a copy of the revisional application.

The opposite party nos. 3 and 10 are represented.

This court is of the view that service is required to be completed as the matter involves a question of law and a substantial amount of public money is also involved. The opposite parties, with the lead bank being the State Bank of India are required to be represented and an

opportunity should be given to them to appear before the court.

Let a fresh notice be issued upon the non-appearing opposite parties who have been served. Affidavit of service to be filed on the next date.

The learned advocate for the Bank of Baroda may be supplied a copy of the revisional application within next week.

The other opposite parties who have not been served, shall be served afresh, with a copy of the revisional application. Affidavit of service to be filed on the next date.

Let this matter appear on June 12, 2024 at 10.30 am.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)