

18.06.2026

Sl. No.33.

D/L.

Mithun.

Ct.No.29.

CRR/752/2026

Saswati Halder (Dutta)

Vs.

Santosh Halder

Mr. Debnath Ganguly,
Mr. Supriyo Dutta

...for the petitioner

The petitioner herein is aggrieved with the judgment and order dated 20th November, 2025 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Calcutta in connection with Miscellaneous Case No.27 of 2018.

Being aggrieved by the aforesaid order, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the Trial Court by an order dated 7th November, 2013 awarded maintenance of Rs.6,000/- per month. Said order was assailed by the opposite party herein before the High Court where this Court was pleased to enhance monthly maintenance of Rs.10,000/- to the wife/opposite party no.1 from the date of the order. Thereafter, the petitioner prayed for enhancement of the maintenance amount before the Trial Court under Section 127 Cr.P.C. and the Trial Court by the impugned order has enhanced Rs.2,000/- and thereby directed the opposite party to pay monthly maintenance of Rs.12,000/-.

Being aggrieved by the aforesaid order, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the maintenance granted to the petitioner to the tune of Rs.12,000/- per month is insufficient and

also disproportionate in terms of the income of the opposite party/husband. The petitioner has no income of her own. The Court below while passed the impugned order did not consider that the opposite party earns more than Rs.75,000/-. Therefore, the order impugned required revision.

Having heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, the application is admitted.

Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of application upon the opposite party through speed post intimating next date of hearing and to file affidavit of service on the returnable date.

Let the matter be listed in the monthly list of August, 2026.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)