

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

W.P.A 3547 of 2023

**Amiruddin
-versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

**Mr. Umenun Khan.
...For the petitioner.**

**Mr. Biswajit De,
Ms. Rajlakshmi Ghatak.
...For the State.**

**Mr. Kamalesh Bhattacharya.
Mr. Aninda Bhattacharya.
...For the Respondent
Nos. 8 & 9.**

**Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya,
...For WBBSE.**

1. The petitioner seeks regularization of his leave period in school.

2. It appears that the Managing Committee of the school has taken a resolution that the petitioner was unauthorisedly absent. The said resolution of the Managing Committee was forwarded to the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education and the Board on 18th July, 2025 approved the resolution of the Managing Committee of the school. The petitioner is aggrieved by the same.

3. Specific submission is that the petitioner was not in unauthorised absence and the petitioner was deliberately not permitted to mark his attendance in the school register. During the said period the

petitioner performed the invigilation duty assigned to him and, accordingly, he cannot be treated to be absent.

4. The Court is of the opinion that the resolution of the Board is not impugned in the instant writ petition. The same is a subsequent and fresh cause of action.

5. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the same, then the petitioner is required to challenge the same before the competent forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of.

7. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)