

CRA 37 of 1996

In the matter of : **Asit Sinha & Ors.**

....Appellants

Ms. Faria Hossain, Ld. APP

Ms. Mamata Jana

...For the State

1. Appellants are not represented by the learned Advocate.
2. Mr. Santosh Kumar Chakraborty, learned Advocate appeared on earlier occasion to represent the appellants. As he was not present on earlier date, learned Advocate for the State was requested to inform Mr. Chakraborty about the next date fixed for hearing.
3. It is told by the learned Advocate for the State that although Mr. Chakraborty, was called over the phone but he did not receive the phone calls.
4. As the appeal is pending since for a considerable period of time, learned Member Sectary, Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee is requested to appoint an advocate from the panel list to represent the appellants in this case before the date fixed.
5. Department is directed to hand over the copy of the paper book to the learned Advocate for the appellants who would be appointed by the Calcutta High Court Legal Services Committee before the date fixed.

6. Let the matter appear in the list one week after reopening of this Court following “Puja Vacation”.

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)