

24.03.2025
Court No.24
Item No.30
CP

WPA No.2841 of 2025
with
CAN 1 of 2025

Santosh Kumar Mahato
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. S. R. Das
Mr. K. P. Mukhopadhyay
Mr. S. Rakshit

..... for the Petitioner.

Ms. Sonal Sinha
Mr. Amritlal Chatterjee
Ms. Shabnam Farooqui

..... for the State.

Mr. Saibal Acharya
Mr. S. B. Mukherjee

.....for the respondent no. 6.

Mr. Santi Pada Pahari
Ms. Subhasri Chatterjee

.....for the additional parties.
(in CAN 1 of 2025)

Re: CAN 1 of 2025

CAN 1 of 2025 is an application for addition of parties by the proposed proforma respondents.

It appears that the intending proposed proforma respondents have tried to represent themselves under representative capacity on behalf of the villagers of village – 'Pardi', under Police Station Jhalda, District – Purulia. It also appears that the application being CAN

1 of 2025 is filed not in accordance with the provision under Order 1 Rule 8 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Hence, the application being CAN 1 of 2025 is disposed of with a liberty to the applicants to file it afresh.

Re: WPA No.2841 of 2025

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

It appears to this Court that the instant writ petition cannot be disposed of without giving the appropriate opportunity to the parties to exchange affidavits.

Let the private respondents as well as the State respondents be given liberty to file affidavit-in-opposition and a report in the form of affidavit respectively, within three weeks from date. Reply/exception, if any by the petitioner, shall be filed within two weeks thereafter.

Let the matter appear in the list in the 1st week of May, 2025.

Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record. The private respondents want to file affidavits against the said supplementary affidavit.

Let such affidavit be filed within three weeks from date.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)