

25-08-2023
ct no. 13
Sl.17
sp

C.R.R. 348 of 2020

Dwarika Nath Singh
-Versus-
State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Uddipan Banerjee
...for the petitioner

Mr. Imran Ali,
Ms. Debjani Sahu
...for the State

The learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner and the learned counsel on behalf of the State are represented.

On going through the previous orders it reveals that Mr. Nilanjan Bhattacharya, learned counsel and Mr. Saikat Dey, learned counsel had represented the opposite party no. 2 on earlier occasions.

On going through the record it transpires that the State is the formal party but the contention is in between the petitioner and the opposite party no. 2, namely, Sri Promod Kumar Singh.

The opposite party no. 2, namely, Sri Promod Kumar Singh is unrepresented today and hence, the instant matter cannot be taken up today.

On going through the record it is found that no Vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of the opposite party no. 2.

In such circumstance, the petitioner is directed to serve notice upon the opposite party no. 2 and the learned counsels who represented the opposite party no. 2 on earlier occasions and to furnish an affidavit to that effect on the next date.

Let the matter appear on September 1, 2023.

(Supratim Bhattacharya, J.)