

Item No.18
09.02.2026
Court. No. 6
GB

C.O. 353 of 2026

Sanjay Majumdar & Anr.
Vs.
Swarnalal Majumdar

Ms. Sweta Mukherjee

...for the Petitioners.

Mr. Kaustav Bagchi,
Mr. Debayan Ghosh,
Ms. Priti Kar Bagchi

...for the Opposite Party.

1. By this revisional application, the petitioners have challenged an order dated January 7, 2026, passed by the learned District Magistrate, North 24 Parganas in Senior Citizen Case Appeal No.14 of 2025.
2. The petitioners have challenged the order on the ground that the same was an ex parte order. A prayer for adjournment was refused. It is further submitted that no reasons were provided in the order impugned.
3. Mr. Bagchi, learned advocate for the senior citizen opposes this application and submits that the petitioners intentionally did not appear before the learned District Magistrate and they had driven away the senior citizen from the property, when the police went to restore possession.
4. It is submitted by the learned advocate for the petitioners that the deed of gift was unconditional

and as such, the order impugned suffers from error apparent on the face of record.

5. The matter requires hearing. Let the senior citizen be put back in possession of the house in question immediately. The concerned police station, namely, Officer-in-Charge, Gopalnagar Police Station shall do the above exercise. Continuous vigil shall be maintained, so that the petitioners do not create any disturbance.
6. It also appears that the petitioners are already paying Rs.10,000/- per month to the senior citizen and such payment shall continue.
7. Let the matter be listed on March 11, 2026 at 12.30 p.m. In the meantime, the order of cancellation of the deed of gift shall be stayed till March 20, 2026 or until further orders, whichever is earlier.
8. An affidavit-of-apology shall be submitted by the petitioners on the next date for interfering with the court's proceeding, despite being represented by a learned Advocate.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)