

19.05.2026  
34.  
Bd.  
Ct. 29

**CRR 443 of 2026**

**Bijoy Chowdhury @ Bijay Kumar  
Chowdhury**

**Vs.**

**The State of West Bengal & Anr.**

**Mr. Sourav Mondal  
Mr. Arijit Bhuiya  
Mr. Rony Mondal  
Mr. Debarghyo Sil ... for the petitioners.**

The petitioner herein has prayed for quashing of the proceeding being Sessions Trial Case No. 22(04)2024 corresponding to Sessions Case (POCSO) No. 55 of 2022 presently pending before learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, 2<sup>nd</sup> Court, Asansol, under section 354(B)/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code.

Being aggrieved by the aforesaid proceeding, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after framing of charge, PW1/victim has been examined who has not materially supported prosecution case and has contradicted from her earlier version. Therefore, further continuation of the impugned proceeding would be a sheer abuse of the process of the court and he prayed for quashment of the impugned proceeding.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the application stands admitted.

Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of application upon the opposite party no. 1/State through the office of the Public Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta and upon the opposite party no. 2 through speed post intimating next

date of hearing and to file affidavit of service on the returnable date.

Let the matter be listed under the heading “Contested Application” in the monthly list of July, 2026.

State is directed to produce the case diary on the date fixed.

Petitioner will be at liberty to pray for interim relief, if any, after serving copy to the opposite parties.

**(Dr. Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)**