



2026:CHC-AS:623-DB

2nd September, 2025
(D/L No.06)
Ct. No.4
(SKB)

W.P.C.T.14 of 2017

Asish Kumar Chatterjee
Versus
The Union of India and others

Mr. A. K. Gayen,
Ms. A. A. Gayen

... for the petitioner.

1. There is none to represent the respondent Railways.
2. The learned advocate for the writ petitioner/applicant submits that the Central Administrative Tribunal, Kolkata Bench (in short 'Tribunal') has failed to consider that his transfer to Bandel from Jamalpur effected in the year 2001 was in view of the fact that he was a surplus staff. Under the circumstances, the Rules provided for retention of the government accommodation at Jamalpur for some time. He further submits that upon his transfer to Bandel, he was not allotted any quarter. He submits that the quarter at Jamalpur was retained under such circumstances, and also in view of compelling need arising out of his mother's treatment for the disease (cancer), which was going on at Jamalpur.



3. We have requested the learned advocate for the petitioner to intimate the Railways/advocate, by serving notice, regarding fixing of this matter for consideration on **22nd September, 2025.**
4. List accordingly.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J.)

(Supratim Bhattacharya, J.)