

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

CRA 69 of 1990

In the Matter of: **Nirmal Roy & Ors.**

.... Appellants

Mr. Arindam Sen,
Ms. Jonaki Saha

.. for the State

1. Nobody is present on behalf of the appellants.
2. State is represented.
3. It is said by the learned Advocate for the State that the learned *amicus curiae*, Ms. Mousumi Sarkar, is ill and is not in a position to conduct the case on behalf of the appellants.
4. Learned Member Secretary, Calcutta High Court Legal Aid Services Committee is requested to appoint an advocate from their panel list to represent the appellants in place of Ms. Mousumi Sarkar in this case before the next date fixed.
5. It is brought to the notice of this Court that inadvertently the name of Ms. Mousumi Sakar, was written in the order dated 14.8.2025 for the State, but actually she represented the appellants as *Amicus curie* on the earlier occasions. So, in that order the words “for the state” should be replaced by the words “*amicus curie* for the appellants” and the words “for the appellants” should be replaced by the word “for the State”.
6. The mistake is apparent.
7. Department is directed to incorporate the aforesaid corrections in the order dated 14.8.2025.
8. Let the matter appear in the **combined monthly list of November, 2025.**

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)

