

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

CRA 69 of 1990

In the Matter of: **Nirmal Roy & Ors.**

.... appellants

Ms. Mousumi Sarkar, *Amicus Curiae*

.. for the appellants

Mr. Arindam Sen,

Ms. Jonaki Saha

.. for the State

1. In pursuance of the direction passed by this Court dated 19.4.2024, a report is submitted by S.I, Muchipara Police Station indicating that the administrative notices were duly served upon the accused appellants nos. 1 and 2, namely Nirmal Roy and Kamal Roy. But the notice could not be served upon the another accused appellant no.3 Bimal Roy, as he had expired during the pendency of this appeal.
2. State is represented by the learned Advocate.
3. Ms. Mousumi Sarkar, learned Advocate, is requested to appear as *amicus curiae* by the Court to represent the appellants nos. 1 and 2, in this case.
4. Her appointment may be regularised by the learned Member Secretary, Calcutta High Court Legal services Committee.
5. State is represented.
6. As the appellant no.3 has expired, as it appears from the report submitted by the concerned Police Station as well as from the photocopy of the certificate of death the instant appeal stands abated against him.
7. Department is directed to hand over the paper book to the learned *amicus curiae* for the appellants and the learned Advocate for the State before next date fixed.

8. Department is directed to communicate this order to the learned Member Secretary, Calcutta High Court Legal services Committee, immediately.
9. Let the matter appear in the list on **22nd May, 2025**.

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)