

27.01.2025
Item No.1 and 2
Ct. No. 26
CHC

GA/1/2025

(An application under Section 418(1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023)

**The State of West Bengal, through the Learned Public
Prosecutor, High Court, Calcutta
Vs.
Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr.
With**

GA/2/2025

(An application under Section 418(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023)

**Central Bureau of Investigation, represented by
Superintendent of Police, CBI, Special Crime Branch-I
Vs.
Sanjay Roy**

Mr. Kishore Datta, Ld. A. G.
Mr. Debasish Roy, Ld. P.P.
Mr. Rudradipta Nandi, Ld. A.P.P.
Mr. Saryati Datta, Advocate
....for the appellant/State in GA/1/2025

Mr. S. V. Raju, Ld. A.S.G, Senior Advocate
(in virtual platform)
Mr. Rajdeep Majumder, D.S.G.I., Sr. Advocate
Mr. Amajit De, Advocate
Mr. Moyukh Mukherjee, Advocate
Mr. Samrat Goswami, Advocate
.... for the C.B.I. and appellant in
GA/2/2025

Mr. Kaushik Gupta, Senior Advocate
Ms. Senjuti Chakrabarty, Advocate
....for the respondent no.2/convict
GA/1/2025
and Respondent/convict in GA/2/2025

Mr. Sudipta Maitra, Senior Advocate
Mr. Samim Ahmed, Advocate
Ms. Gargi Goswami, Advocate
Mr. Aniruddha Singh, Advocate
Mr. Amartya De, Advocate
Mr. Rajdip Halder, Advocate
....for the victim

1. Two appeals are taken up for admission hearing.
2. GA/1/2025 is the appeal at the behest of the State while GA/2/2025 is at the behest of Central Bureau of Investigation. Both the appeals are directed against the same judgment of conviction and order of sentence. Both the appellants claim that they are aggrieved by the quantum of sentence imposed by the learned trial Judge.
3. Heard learned Advocate General for the State.
4. Heard Mr. S. V. Raju, Ld. ASG and Senior Advocate for the C.B.I.
5. Heard learned appearing for the family of the victim.
6. Heard learned advocate appearing for the convict.
7. Hearing concluded.
8. Judgment reserved.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)