

01. 24.07.2025
Court No.08
(Jayanta/
Tanmoy)

WPA (P) 31 of 2021

in
IA No.CAN 1 of 2022
in
IA No.CAN 2 of 2022
in
IA No.CAN 3 of 2022
in
IA No.CAN 4 of 2023

Ashis Mandal
-Versus-
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. S. Nayak
Ms. Sinjini Chakraborty
...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Mukherjee, Ld. AGP
Mr. Samirul Bari
Mr. Somnath Naskar
...for the State.

Mr. Pradip Kumar Roy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Joydeep Roy
...for the Respondent No. 1 & 2.

Mr. Joydeep Kar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Satish Kumar Verma
Mr. Deepnath Roy Chowdhury
Mr. Vijay Verma
Mr. Asis Datta

...for the Respondent No. 3 to 5.
Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Oindrila Sinha
...for the Added Respondent No. 27.

Mr. Ankit Sureka
Mr. Biplob Das
...for the Respondent No. 62.

1. The Counsel for the Bank submits that because of typographical error in the affidavit-in-opposition, the designation of deponent is shown as Secretary, whereas it should have been

‘Chairman’. This innocuous error may be permitted to be corrected.

2. The counsel for the Bank may do the needful.

3. Corrected copy of affidavits be provided to the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for two weeks’ time for reply.

5. The other side has raised no objection.

6. Time is granted.

7. List the matter after two weeks.

(Sujoy Paul, J.)

(Smita Das De, J.)