

W.P.S.T. 16 of 2026

Kingsuk Ghosh

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Goutam Kr. Das,
Mr. Sayan Datta,
Mr. Atanu Basu,
Mr. Indranuj Dutta

...for the Petitioner

Ms. Shraboni Sarkar

...for the PSC, WB

1. The learned advocate for the writ petitioner submits that the petitioner was deprived of his proper assessment in the recruitment process of 2017. He approached the West Bengal Administrative Tribunal ('Tribunal' for short) in 2022. Pursuant to direction passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No. 38 of 2022, his marks were re-evaluated and it was found that he was wrongly allotted marks earlier. The Commission found that the petitioner had scored marks which otherwise was sufficient for him to be recommended in the recruitment process.
2. Neither the Tribunal in its order dated 02.04.2025 passed in O.A. No. 38 of 2022, passed any direction as a consequence to the re-evaluation, nor the Commission in the letter dated 11.08.2025, containing the re-evolution has admitted that the panel of 2019 subsists and that recommendations are still being made from the

panel. The issue, therefore, that arises for consideration whether nine (09) years after the recruitment process was initiated and seven (07) years after the select list/merit list was published, it is proper to issue a direction in exercise of judicial review to make appointment from such panel, when the petitioner chose to invoke the Tribunal's jurisdiction in this regard by filing an Original Application in 2022.

3. The learned advocate for the writ petitioner submits that he would be relying on certain precedents.
4. List the matter on **12th May, 2026** under the appropriate heading.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J.)

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)