

05. 05.03.2026.  
Court No.03.  
(Pritam)  
(M/L)

**WPA 1426 of 2026.**

**Lokesh Kumar Tahlani @ Lokesh Tahlani.**

**-Vs.-**

**State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Nilanjan Bhattacharjee, Sr. Adv.,  
Mr. Brajesh Jha,  
Ms. Surabhi Banerjee,  
Mr. Neel Chakraborty,  
Ms. Anushka Ghosh

.....for the petitioner.

Mr. Sabyasachi Mondal.

....for the State.

Mr. R.N. Chakraborty,  
Mr. R. Singh.

.....for the municipality.

1. By an order dated 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2026, this Court was,  
*inter alia*, pleased to pass the following order;

*“.....Pursuant to the aforesaid, on 18th September 2025 a joint inspection was conducted in presence of the contesting parties to demarcate the portion of land which had been acquired. According to Mr. Bhattacharjee, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner though the joint inspection has been held, the petitioners are yet to be served with a copy of the reasoned order as directed earlier. According to him, though demarcation has already been effected, the same has not been given effect to. He submits to protect the demarcated portion which has not been acquired, the petitioner has applied before the municipality seeking permission to construct a boundary wall. Such application dated 7th January 2025 is also yet to be disposed of.*

*Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and in the light of above, I direct the respondent nos. 1 to 3 to file a detailed report before this Court as regards the outcome of the joint inspection and as to whether any final order as directed pursuant to the order dated 17th June 2025 has been passed.*

*List this matter under the same heading in the combined monthly list of March 2026.”*

2. Although the matter has come up in the list on the returnable date, Mr. Mondal, the learned advocate representing the State would submit that he is yet to be favoured with the copy of the report. He prays for an accommodation.
3. Considering the fact that the respondent nos.1 to 3 has failed to adhere to the directives issued by this Court, I am of the view that an affidavit should be filed by the respondent nos.1, 2 & 3, explaining why the order dated 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2026 has not been complied with.
4. The report, as directed, must be filed on the returnable date.
5. List this matter under the same heading on **March 18, 2026.**

**(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)**