

18
19.10.2023
D.Hira
Court No. 12

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Civil Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

FMA 2165 of 2018

**M/s. Hind Tea Company Limited
Versus
Regional Provident Fund Commissioner – I,
Jalpaiguri & Ors.**

**Ms. Soumya Majumder,
Mr. S.P. Tewary.
... for the appellant**

**Mr. Anil Kumar Gupta.
... for the P.F. Authorities**

The entire paper is not available in the record.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the previous management of the appellant is challenged in the orders dated 27th February, 2015 passed under Section 14 B and 9th May, 2016 passed under Section 7Q. The learned Judge without considering the same erroneously dismissed the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that the said submission is not correct and referred to two documents filed along with CAN 260 of 2018 and submitted that the counsel for appellant before the writ Court prayed for payment as per the notice dated 30th August, 2017 in installment and now it is not open to him to say that the order of the Single Judge is illegal.

In view of the same, the department is directed to put up the CAN 260 of 2018 in the next hearing.

Post the matter one week after vacation.

(V.M. Velumani, J.)

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)