

CRA 35 of 1991

In the matter of : Radharaman Roy @ Gora
... Appellant

Mr. Bidyut Kumar Ray
Ms. Sima Biswas

...for the State

1. None appears on behalf of the appellant.
2. State is represented by the learned advocate.
3. It appears from the earlier order dated 17.05.2023, Ms. Kaberi Mukherjee, learned advocate was appointed as *Amicus Curiae* in this case. It further appears from the order dated 14.05.2024 wherein direction was given upon the concerned police station for production of the appellant before the Court on the date fixed.
4. Although communication was made by the Department to the Superintendent of Police, Dakshin Dinajpur District Police, but no compliance report has yet been received from their end.
5. Department is directed to obtain an explanation from the Superintendent of Police, Dakshin Dinajpur District Police regarding compliance of the direction dated 14.05.2024 and submit a report on the next date.
6. Learned advocate for the State is requested to inform Ms. Kaberi Mukherjee who was appointed as *Amicus Curiae* in this case by the Court.
7. Let this matter appear in the list on **7th May, 2025.**

(Prasenjit Biswas, J.)