



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 11093 of 2025

Vimal Kumar

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Shailesh Kumar Mishra, Vivek Kumar Sharma
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Shri Shailesh Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri Manoj Gautam, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no.831 of 2024, under Sections 323, 354, 504, 506 of I.P.C., Police Station North, District Firozabad, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.
3. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that the charge sheet in this case has already been submitted and the applicant is ready and willing to cooperate in the trial. It is further submitted that the maximum punishment, which can be imposed on conviction is seven years. It is further submitted that as per allegations in the first information report, applicant and other co-accused persons are said to have assaulted the first informant by fists and legs and they have also tried to outrage her modesty. It was also submitted that applicant undertakes to co-operate during trial and he would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.
4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. has opposed the application for anticipatory bail and it has been submitted by him that the applicant is having criminal history of one case, which has not been explained in the memo of application.

5. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. In the event of arrest of the applicant- **Vimal Kumar** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on interim anticipatory bail till 19.02.2026 on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

8. List this case on **19.02.2026 as fresh at 02:00 P.M.**

9. Applicant is directed to file supplementary affidavit bringing on record his entire criminal history.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

February 2, 2026
RKM