



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 45525 of 2025

Golu Pandey

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Sarvanand Pandey
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	Anoop Kumar Dubey, G.A., Sudhir Tiwari

Court No. - 70

HON'BLE HARVIR SINGH, J.

1. Personal affidavits of the S.P., Kushinagar and S.H.O., P.S.Turkpatti, Kushinagar, filed by learned A.G.A., are taken on record.
2. The S.P., Kushinagar, namely, Sri Keshav Kumar, in paragraph No. 6 of his personal affidavit has stated that the deceased Saleem Ansari died under suspicious circumstances. Further in paragraph No.8, it is stated that the cause of death could not be ascertained and further in paragraph No.11 he has stated that on 22.10.2025, the injured Nabi Rasool was taken to the medical store where deceased Saleem Ansari also reached and he was stated to be quite fit. Thereafter, the deceased Saleem was taken to the hospital by one Deepak where Saleem was declared dead. Further the S.P., Kushinagar, Sri Keshav Kumar in paragraph No.10 of his affidavit has used the language "upon which learned court below took the cognizance of the offence on 03.01.2026".
3. The S.P., Kushinagar is directed to explain as to under which authority of law, he has used such a language which appears to be derogatory if used by any officer. Even the Hon'ble Supreme Court has deprecated using that word, used for district courts as court below.
4. Under the circumstances, the S.P., Kushinagar is further directed to explain his conduct in respect of the affidavit filed which, *prima facie*, appears to be evasive and contradictory by itself as narrated in paragraphs referred to above.
5. The appropriate reply of the S.P., Kushinagar should reach this Court within one week by filing a personal affidavit, failing which the S.P., Kushinagar, Mr. Keshav Kumar shall remain present in this Court.

6. Put up on 10.4.2026 as fresh.

March 25, 2026

Vandana

(Harvir Singh,J.)