



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) No. - 3973 of 2025

Ajay Kumar Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and 6 others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : U.C. Chaturvedi, Vishnu Narayan Shukla

Counsel for Respondent(s) : Ramanand Pandey, A.S.C.

Chief Justice's Court

**HON'BLE ARUN BHANSALI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE KSHITIJ SHAILENDRA, J.**

1. In the present writ petition, time was granted to learned Standing Counsel to obtain instructions in the matter as from the reminder dated 17.11.2025, it was apparent that though preliminary inquiry into the allegations made by the petitioner was instituted, the inquiry report, despite passage of sufficient time, was not submitted. Whereafter, on 09.02.2026, again time was granted.
2. Today, learned Standing Counsel submits that despite reminders issued in this regard, he has not received any instruction.
3. From the above fact situation, it appears that despite serious allegations made by the petitioner against respondent no. 7, the Gram Pradhan and inquiry having been instituted, the respondents are seeking to spend time to ensure that the report in this regard is not given by the authorities.
4. It is surprising that despite time granted on two occasions, the District Panchayat Raj Officer, Mirzapur has chosen not to respond to the reminders sent by the learned Standing Counsel.
5. In that view of the matter, list the petition on 27.04.2026, as fresh, on which date either the requisite information/response would be given by the concerned respondent or the District Panchayat Raj Officer, Mirzapur shall remain personally present before this Court.

6. The order shall be communicated by learned Standing Counsel to the District Panchayat Raj Officer, Mirzapur.

(Kshitij Shailendra,J.) (Arun Bhansali,CJ.)

March 24, 2026

Sandeep/RK