



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 44461 of 2025

Jaypee Infratech Limited

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 8 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)

: Ankit Prakash

Counsel for Respondent(s)

: C.S.C., Saurabh Pandey

Court No. - 4

HON'BLE AJIT KUMAR, J.

HON'BLE SWARUPAMA CHATURVEDI, J.

Heard Sri Gaurav Vig and Ankit Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Saurabh Pandey and Pranav Tanwar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 8 and learned Standing Counsel representing State respondents.

By means of this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, petitioner has prayed for a writ of mandamus to direct the respondents to declare the entire stretch of Yamuna Expressway including the Toll Plazas and ran Toll Plazas situate there to be "Protest Free Zone", as according to the petitioner the public protest is unnecessarily causing harassment to the toll collectors and at times the passage on the Yamuna Expressway also gets blocked for hours together.

At this stage, learned Advocate appearing for respondent no. 8 has drawn the attention of the Court towards the order earlier passed in the matter of JP Infratech Limited being Writ - C No. 13963 of 2025 dated 14.07.2025 directing the petitioner to make representation before the authority, who shall upon receiving the representation, will consider and decide the same in accordance with law by taking appropriate remedial measures as may be permissible. It is further submitted by the learned Advocate appearing for respondent no. 8 that petitioner has already made a representation to the State respondent and it is for them now to take decision in the matter.

Under the circumstances, it becomes a question as to whether the State respondents have taken any decision in the matter of representation

already made by the petitioner pursuant to the order dated 14.07.2025 passed in Writ - C No. 13963 of 2025.

Sri Pradeep Singh, learned Standing Counsel seeks time to have instructions in the matter.

Let him have instructions in the form of affidavit of a responsible officer on behalf of the State to apprise the Court regarding the decision, if any taken, by the State upon representation made by the petitioner pursuant to the order earlier passed by this Court. The affidavit of the officer shall be placed before the Court on the next date fixed.

Put up this matter again as fresh on 19.01.2026.

(Swarupama Chaturvedi,J.) (Ajit Kumar,J.)

December 17, 2025

IrfanUddin