



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 10219 of 2025**

Ravindra @ Ravindra Rai

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Abhishek Kumar Srivastava
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Abhishek Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Chandra Shekhar Saran, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. Present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 513 of 2025, under Sections 319(2), 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 3(5) B.N.S., Police Station Sarnath, District Varanasi with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.
3. At the very outset, learned A.G.A. submits that he has not received any instructions as yet.
4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and he has an apprehension that he may be arrested in the above-mentioned case. He further submits that no offence is made out against the applicant. It is further submitted that the applicant is the Director of the Company which deals in manufacturers, producers, designers and other activities. It is submitted that as per the allegation in the FIR the company of the applicant is said to have promised to give job to the first informant after obtaining handsome amount. It is submitted that company of the applicant did not promise any employment to anyone and company used to impart training to persons on payment of 2,800/- as fee for fooding and lodging. It is submitted that the applicant has been falsely implicated only with ulterior motive by the police. It was also

submitted that applicant undertakes to co-operate during trial and he would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.

5. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra**, (2011) 1 SCC 694, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, the Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. Considering the above submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and without expressing any opinion on merits, the applicant- **Ravindra @ Ravindra Rai** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on **interim anticipatory bail** on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned **till 21.1.2026** with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would cooperate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of interim interim anticipatory bail bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to

file appropriate application for cancellation of interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant herein.

8. List this case as fresh on 21.1.2026.

9. In the meantime, learned AGA may obtain instructions in the matter.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

December 12, 2025
Abhishek